1	Gregory M. Fox, State Bar No. 070876	
2	Nancy A. Huneke, State Bar No. 114973 BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT	
3	The Waterfront Building - 2749 Hyde Street San Francisco, California 94109	
4	Email: gfox@bfesf.com   Telephone: 415.353.0999   Facsimile: 415.353.0990	
5		720
6	John A. Russo, City Attorney, State Bar No. 129729 Randolph W. Hall, Chief Assist. City Attorney, State Bar No. 080142	
7	Rocio V. Fierro, Senior Deputy City Attorney, State Bar No. 139565 OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF OAKLAND	
8	One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Sixth Floor Oakland, California 94612	
9	Telephone: 510.238.3601 Facsimile: 510.238.6500	
10	17acsinine. 310.238.0300	
11	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	NORTHERN DISTR	ici or chen orani
15	DELPHINE ALLEN, et al.,	) MASTER FILE: No. C-00-4599-TEH
16		)
17	Plaintiffs,	) )
18	VS.	OCITY OF OAKLAND'S APPLICATION OF FOR EXTENSION OF TIME RE: COURT'S
19	CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,	DECEMBER 22, 2005 ORDER SETTING DEADLINES FOLLOWING DECEMBER 8
20	Defendants.	2005 CASE MANAGEMENT
21		) CONFERENCE )
22		
23		) )
24		)
25	The Court's Order of December 22, 2005 Re: Setting Deadlines Following December 8 2005	
26	Case Management Conference, required that the Office of the City Attorney review and assess the	
27		
28	retaliation claims reviewed by the IMT during its November 2005 audit of Task 37 of the settlement	
		1

agreement and file a report of its findings and any proposed remedial actions by March 1, 2006. The 1 2 Office of the City Attorney respectfully requests a one-week extension to March 8, 2006 to file its 3 report. Plaintiffs' counsel James B. Chanin does not oppose the extension. IMT Kelli Evans on 4 behalf of the IMT does not oppose the extension. Good cause for the extension exists in that Ms. 5 Vicki Laden Esq. of the City Attorney's Office has been representing the City in a week long 6 arbitration regarding the closing of the Oakland City Jail and has been working closely with the IMT 7 8 and outside counsel on the independent investigation of certain matters relating to OPD's IAD which 9 are also the concern of this Court. Ms. Laden has stated to Mr. Fox that the extension will allow her 10 to complete her report as required by the Court by March 8, 2006. 11 This is the first extension requested by the City relating to the December 22, 2006 Order. All 12 other deadlines have been completed on time as required by the Court. 13 Respectfully submitted. 14 15 Dated: February 23, 2006 BERTRAND, FOX, & ELLIOT 16 17 18 Gregory M. Fox Attorney for Defendants 19 20 21 ORDER OF THE COURT 22 Good Cause Appearing, the application of the City of Oakland for an extension until March 8, 23 2006 for the Office of the City Attorney to file its report re: findings and recommendations relating to 24 complaints of retaliation is granted. 25 Feb. 27, 2006 Dated: \_\_ 26 Hon. Thelton E. Henderson United States District Court Judge 27 28